

research and development of high-technology programs.

The estimated federal funding for ICAR management and technical programs is \$12M in FY95; however, award of the cooperative agreement is subject to availability of funds. Interested parties should note that funds are not presently available for this project. The costs for the management and operating function should not exceed one quarter of the total available funding. Those who submitted an Expression of Interest (EOI) in response to the Department's November 1994 request for EOI's will automatically receive a copy of the solicitation. Notification to attend the conference and/or requests for copies of the solicitation should be received in writing or be transmitted via facsimile to (803) 725-8573 no later than close of business (4:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time) March 15, 1995. Requests or notifications should be sent to Ms. Angela Sistrunk, Contracts Division, U.S. Department of Energy, P.O. Box A, Aiken, SC 29802. Telephonic requests will not be accepted.

Issued in Aiken, S.C., on February 22, 1995.

Robert E. Lynch,

*Head of Contracting, Activity Designee,
Contracts Division, Savannah River
Operations Office.*

[FR Doc. 95-5661 Filed 3-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP95-220-000, et al.]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co., et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

March 1, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP95-220-000]

Take notice that on February 23, 1995, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252, filed in Docket No. CP95-220-000 a request pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations to construct and operate a new delivery point located on a platform in state waters, Timbalier Bay, LaFourche Parish, Louisiana (Cailliou Island Platform), to supply natural gas to Union Oil Company of California (Unocal) for gas-lift purposes under Tennessee's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-413-000, pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as

more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Tennessee proposes to install on Tennessee's existing right-of-way a 2-inch side valve assembly between an existing 6-inch block valve and check valve and inspect Unocal's installation of the interconnecting piping and buy-back meter on the Cailliou Island Platform. Tennessee states that Tennessee would install, own, operate and maintain the tie-in assembly and would operate the measurement facility. Unocal would install, own, operate and maintain the interconnect piping and install, own and maintain the measurement facility, it is indicated. Tennessee states that the estimated cost to install these facilities is \$7,700, for which Tennessee would be reimbursed by Unocal. Tennessee states that the volumes to be delivered to Unocal after the delivery point is established would not exceed the total quantities authorized to be delivered and would have no impact on Tennessee's peak day and annual deliveries. National states that the addition of the new delivery point is not prohibited by Tennessee's existing tariff and Tennessee has sufficient capacity to accomplish deliveries at the new delivery point without detriment or disadvantage to Tennessee's other customers.

Comment date: April 17, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

2. Colorado Interstate Gas Co.

[Docket No. CP95-226-000]

Take notice that on February 24, 1995, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944 filed, in Docket No. CP95-226-000, an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's Regulations for permission and approval to abandon one 149-horsepower compressor engine at the Left Hand Field No. 2 Compressor Station (Left Hand Field), located in Kiowa County Colorado, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

CIG states that the 149-horsepower compressor unit installed at Left Hand Field in 1979 is a single stage unit and low suction pressure is causing excessive vibration. CIG notes that the compressor is utilized to compress natural gas supplies from the Cavalry and Buscadero Fields to CIG's 20-inch transmission line. CIG indicates that the unit proposed to be abandoned will be replaced by a two-stage 123-horsepower unit to be installed at the existing site

pursuant to the provisions and authority of CIG's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-21-000.

CIG asserts that the removal and replacement of the compressor unit will not affect the existing land use nor affect CIG's system design capacity or operation.

Comment date: March 22, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

3. Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.

[Docket No. CP95-227-000]

Take notice that on February 24, 1995, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco), P.O. Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77251, filed in Docket No. CP95-227-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon the sales service provided to its customers under Rate Schedule FS-G, to be effective November 1, 1994, which was authorized in Docket No. RS92-86-000 et al., all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Transco states that on March 3, 1993, it filed a revised Order No. 636 compliance filing in Docket Nos. RS92-86, RP92-137 and RP92-108 in which it proposed to eliminate bundled sales service to small customers under Rate Schedules G and OG and to replace that bundled service with (1) an unbundled firm transportation service under new Rate Schedule FT-G and (2) for those customers that elected FT-G service, an optional sales service under new Rate Schedule FS-G, to be available for a one-year period. Transco states that this service was approved for a period of one year by Commission order issued on October 4, 1993, in Docket Nos. RS92-86-000 et al. implementing Rate Schedule FS-G to become effective November 1, 1993. Transco further states that in compliance with the revised compliance filing, each Rate Schedule G or OG customer that elected Rate Schedule FS-G service had the option ninety days prior to the end of the one-year period to submit to Transco a one-time nomination specifying the portion, if any, of Rate Schedule FS-G sales service to be converted to Rate Schedule FS. Transco states that by August 1, 1994, ninety days prior to the termination date, no FS-G customer had elected to convert any of its FS-G entitlements to service under Rate Schedule FS, and that by letter dated September 6, 1994, Transco requested that each FS-G customer submit an election form confirming that they declined to convert all or part of their FS-G sales entitlement to transportation

service under Rate Schedule FS. Transco states that eight out of ten customers declined to convert their service and the remaining two customers verbally confirmed their election not to convert.

Transco states that even though it believes it to be clear that the Commission contemplated that the one-year cost-based sales service to small customers, as embodied in Transco's Rate Schedule FS-G, would terminate automatically, Section 6 of Rate Schedule FS-G, as approved by the Commission, states that " * * * [s]ervice under this Rate Schedule is subject to the abandonment requirements of Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act." Accordingly, Transco requests authorization to abandon all service under its Rate Schedule FS-G and requests that such abandonment be made effective November 1, 1994.

Transco states that the subject application is the result of and consistent with Article II of Transco's Rate Schedule FS-G service agreements and with the provisions of Order No. 636, both of which clearly viewed service under Rate Schedule FS-G to be interim service available only for a period of one year.

Comment date: March 22, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

4. Mississippi River Transmission Corp.

[Docket No. CP95-228-000]

Take notice that on February 27, 1995, Mississippi River Transmission Corporation (MRT), 9900 Clayton Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63124, filed in Docket No. CP95-228-000 an application pursuant to Sections 7(c) and 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act to construct and abandon facilities necessary to modernize and improve the reliability of its Main Line System, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

MRT states because of safety, system reliability and increasing operating cost concerns, MRT is proposing to implement the first portion of a 16-year system modernization program. MRT proposes in the first phase of the program to add compression at its Biggers and Tuckerman Compressor Stations, retire certain compressor engines at these compressor stations, and retire approximately 93 miles of its Main Line No. 1. It is indicated that, as a consequence of these additions and abandonments, MRT would also need to reconfigure the station piping at its Biggers, Tuckerman and Diaz Compressor Stations, and relocate

interconnections serving 13 delivery points to other main line facilities.

MRT estimates construction costs during 1995 of \$6.7 million and during 1996 of \$7.2 million, to be financed with internally generated funds. MRT states that the proposed construction and abandonment would not affect the capacity of its Main Line System and would not affect service to any existing customer.

Comment date: March 22, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs:

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR

385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-5622 Filed 3-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. RP95-115-002]

CNG Transmission Corp.; Notice of Compliance Filing

March 2, 1995.

Take notice that on February 27, 1995, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG) pursuant to Section 4 of the Natural Gas Act, Section 154.63 of the Commission's Regulations, and the Commission's January 27, 1995, order in the referenced proceeding (Suspension Order), filed the following proposed changes to its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, to become effective on February 1, 1995:

Substitute Sixth Revised Sheet No. 32
Substitute Sixth Revised Sheet No. 33

The Suspension Order accepted and suspended CNG's filing, allowing CNG's tariff sheets to become effective, subject to refund, and subject to three conditions: (1) CNG was required to provide an explanation of its agreement with Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco); (2) CNG was required to provide an explanation of its proposed exclusion of FTY-GSS and FTNN-GSS customers from operation of the Account No. 858 component of the stranded cost surcharge; and (3) CNG was required to provide work papers that detail the derivation of its billing determinants.

CNG states that its filing complies with each of the three conditions. In partial response to the Commission's second condition, CNG's filing would revise its tariff sheets to require its FT-GSS and FTNN-GSS customers to pay the Account No. 858 component of the stranded cost surcharge.

CNG states that it has posted and served its filing in accordance with the Commission's regulations.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a protest